

Project Name: Development of Integrated Municipal Solid Waste Management (ISWM) System in Jamshedpur

Agenda: Pre-Bid Meeting for the clarifications on the RfQ Document

Date of Meeting: October 21, 2011

Venue: Conference Room, Deputy Commissioner, East Singhbhum District

List of Participants from Jamshedpur Urban Agglomeration

1. Ms. Himani Pandey (I.A.S.), Deputy Commissioner, District East Singhbhum
2. Mr. Sunil Kumar, Executive Magistrate, District East Singhbhum
3. Mr. R. N. Dwivedi, Special Officer, Jamshedpur Notified Area Committee
4. Mr. Arvind Sharma, Superintending Engineer, DW&SD, Jamshedpur
5. Mr. R. S. Bhatia, Executive Officer, Adityapur Nagar Parishad
6. G.M. Industry Department, Jamshedpur

Representatives from Project Management Consultant cum Transaction Advisor (Tetra Tech India Limited)

1. Mr. Sudhir Malik, Head PPP and Finance
2. Mr. Ganesh Singh, Assistant Manager
3. Mr. Suneet Kumar, Project Engineer

The Pre bid meeting was attended by representatives from following firms:

1. A2Z Infrastructure Limited
2. KRL Infratech (India) Limited
3. SMS Infrastructure Limited
4. SPML Infra Limited
5. ASL Enterprises Limited

Points discussed in the meeting are summarized below:

- It was suggested the company desirous of submitting queries even during the meeting could submit their queries in writing.

- The representatives were informed; no verbal queries will be entertained while furnishing the reply unless the queries are made in writing.

S. No.	Page No.	Clause No.	Queries/Suggestions	Response of Jamshedpur Urban Agglomeration (JUA)
1.	7	1.2.3	JUA has estimated the cost to be 32.23 cr. Will the JUA contribute towards project cost to the acting consortium and if yes, to what extent and on What terms. Whether any subsidy or grant is available under JNNURM programme. What type of financial assistance is available under this programme and at what cost. Will JUA be an equity partner in the SPV	Capital grant of 32.23 Cr. is available under JnNURM. JUA will not be an equity partner in the SPV
2.	7	1.2.4	whether permission to outsource jobs as door to door collection, transporting, street sweeping and silting of drains is available or not.	No
3.	7	1.2.4	Why do you want composting as one of the main processes for the same is not ecofriendly and generates methane	Mechanical compost plant as one of the main processing of MSW is approved by Govt. of India under this project.
4.	8	1.2.9	To furnish location of the site, area of the site and permission to visit if required. Details of existing vehicles, equipment and infrastructure required. Details of existing employees along with their pay scale and years of service left required.	These details would be provided at the time of RFP stage.
5.	1.4	9	Kindly extend the last date of submission	Last date for submission of RfQ changed to: 08.11.2011 up to 3:00 PM
6.	11	2.2.2 (A)	We suggest that experience for collection and transporting should not form a basis of	No Change

			selection for 'Qualification' for generally these two activities are mostly outsourced. The main criteria should be technology to process the collected waste under the pollution norms generating least rejects or land fill	
7.			Executing the power, by consortium lead member in favour of one Director - the Power signed by another Director, will the board resolution authorizing this would be sufficient.	Yes
8.	11	2.2.2 (A)	Technical specification mentioned in the RFQ is very specific favoring very narrow range of bidders available in India; hence it is termed as favors and restriction of open bidding for all related parties. To invite more parties and meet such specific requirements we request for allowing 4 (Four) members in Consortium which is general consideration on such cases. Also, Lead partner criteria of 35% should be removed as equity lock in period is always in place for restriction.	No change
9.	11	2.2.2 (A)	We have developed & operated Compost plant of 50 TPD on EPC basis will it meet the qualification requirement. Please confirm.	To be considered, if the bidder has relevant experience in operation & maintenance as well.
10.	11	2.2.2 B	Net worth requirement of Rs. 60.00 crores against a turnover requirement of Rs. 30.00 crores is too high. Against a project cost of Rs. 32.23 crores and a turnover requirement of Rs. 30.00 crores the net worth requirement should be 20% (Rs. 6.0	Revised Net Worth: The Applicant shall have a minimum average annual Net Worth (the Financial Capacity) of Rs. 45 Crore (Rupees Forty Five Crore) at the close of the preceding financial year.

			crores)	No change in case of average annual turnover
11.	11	2.2.2 (B)	Kindly clarify whether a wholly subsidiary company aspiring to submit RfQ for proposed project can use its parent's financial credentials.	Please refer clause no. 2.2.8
12.	12	2.2.3 (ii) & (iii)	Kindly consider certificates from the CA for Net worth and Average Annual Turnover	No Change
13.	24	3.2.3	In case of Consortium, Lead Member shall on its own strength/experience obtain at least 35 marks out of 100 should be removed	No change
14.	Annexure II	34	Scoring pattern specified in the RFQ is also very stringent and similar tender in Dhanbad, only two parties were qualified, which also is being reviewed by Jharkhand High Court in Ranchi. Technical score should be brought down to minimum 60 as was the case in Ranchi under same consultant.	No change
15.	Annexure III	36	Financial figures of preceding financial year have been asked, the term used should be Net Worth and Annual Turnover rather than Average Net Worth and Average Turnover	Annexure III : Average Net worth may be read as Net Worth
16.			Kindly accept the queries on the date of pre-bid meeting also.	Written queries can be accepted at the time of pre-bid meeting
17.			Kindly consider the experience on hazardous waste management for industry to industry waste collection in line with door to door collection.	No

18.			Kindly consider the experience of collection and transportation of Hazardous waste. Transportation as more complex due to nature of waste than Municipal Solid Waste. Please consider	Experience of transportation of hazardous waste would also be considered
19.			Kindly consider the Experience of Design, Construction, and Operation & Maintenance of engineered sanitary landfill facility for Hazardous Waste Management.	Experience of hazardous waste landfill would also be considered
20.			Also consider extra points for Hazardous waste landfill qualification as Hazardous waste landfill has double layers of lined and complicated designing aspects than MSW landfill.	Not considered
21.			We request you to please confirm the assured waste quantity.	Quantity of assured waste would be provided at RfP stage
22.			We request you to please provide the approved DPR, and please confirm the year/time in which DPR prepared.	DPR was approved in year 2011 Relevant project details as per DPR would be provided at RfP stage.
23.			We request you to please give detailed boundary MAP of area covered under JUA.	Map of project area would be provided at the RfP stage
24.			We request you to please confirm the user charges as notified by JUA.	Amount of user charges is yet to be notified.
25.			We request JNAC to provide detail of the following: a. Area available for development of; i. Project Facility	These details would be provided at the time of RfP stage.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii. Transfer Station iii. Waste Storage Depot iv. Workshop <ul style="list-style-type: none"> b. Detail of Equipment & Vehicle/Machinery <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Make ii. Model iii. Present Condition of vehicle 	
26.			We request JUA to share the Contour Map & Geotechnical Investigation reports	Contour Map & Geotechnical Investigation report would be provided at the time of RfP stage
27.			We request JUA to confirm the operating timing and place availability to develop grievance redressing cell cum monitoring Centre.	To be provided at RFP stage
28.			Please permit the registered NGO/Trust eligible to participate in Bid for the Tender	Not considered